

**THE PERFORMANCE OF SPINTOR DUST AND ACTELIC 2% DUST FOR  
 MANAGING *Sitophilus zeamais* (MOTSCHULSKY) (COLEOPTERA,  
 CURCULIONIDAE) IN DIFFERENT MAIZE VARIETIES IN THE NIGER DELTA.**

**Zakka, U.\*<sup>1</sup>, Lale, N.E.S<sup>1</sup>. and Gbarakoro T.N<sup>2</sup>.**

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Crop and Soil Science,  
 Faculty of Agriculture,  
 University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt.*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Animal and Environmental Biology,  
 Faculty of Science,  
 University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt.*

\*Corresponding author: [uzakka@yahoo.com](mailto:uzakka@yahoo.com), +234 (0)8065703350

*Received 1 – 2 – 10*

*Accepted 11 – 2 – 10*

**ABSTRACT**

*Potency of two insecticides (Actellic 2% dust and Spintor dust at 0.02g/20 g maize grains) in managing *Sitophilus zeamais* in stored maize was evaluated using three varieties (Bende, Ogbia muno, and ACR 97 TZL Comp. 1-W) under prevailing laboratory conditions (25-30°C and 70-90 % R. H.). Four pairs of 7-day old *S. zeamais* adults were introduced on each of the four 20 g lots of each variety in 1-L Kilner jars. Adult progeny, weight loss and developmental periods were assessed. The interactive effect of the insecticides and maize variety under investigation showed that considerably more adult progeny developed in control jars than in jars containing maize treated with test insecticides. Weight losses for one generation of infestation by *S. zeamais* in maize treated with Spintor dust, Actellic 2% dust and insecticide free maize were 8%, 12% and 14 %, respectively. Adult progeny development period was ranked in a decreasing order as Spintor dust < Actellic dust < Untreated maize. The use of Spintor dust as a pesticide for managing stored maize by *S. zeamais* is being reported, perhaps, for the first time to me.*

**Key words:** *Sitophilus zeamais*; Actellic dust; Spintor dust; Maize variety; Infestation.

**INTRODUCTION**

Food situation has remained insecure and unpredictable in sub-Saharan Africa, leading to high levels of cyclic famine and poverty (Othiro, *et al.*, 2008). Maize (*Zea mays*) is the third most important cereal crop cultivated in the world after wheat and rice (Purseglove, 1983). The grains constitute the most important staple food-stuff for the growing population in the tropics (Purseglove, 1983). In the Niger Delta area of Nigeria, maize is the most important cereal grown and eaten (Udoh *et al.*, 2000). While in

storage, cereal crops are subject to damage from attack by a variety of insect pests which leads to loss in weight, seed quality, germination ability and market value (Komolafe, *et al.*, 1980) and substantial post harvest food grain loss (Othira, *et al.*, 2009). Global post-harvest grain losses caused by insect damage and other bioagents range from 10-40% (Raja, *et al.*, 2001). The maize weevil is a cosmopolitan pest of wholesome grains in both tropics and warm temperate regions of the world (Ofuya and Lale, 2001). *S. zeamais* poses a serious threat to food

security, particularly in developing countries and is a serious primary pest of maize (Wanyika, *et al.*, 2009) it is said to be the principal post-harvest pest where infestation commences in the field as soon as maize cobs begin to turn yellowish (Haines, 1991). Adedire (1998) observed the effects and damage pattern of *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motschulsky) on stored maize and noted that adult weevils and larvae feed on the undamaged grains and reduce them to a powdery form. Such loss has been reported to be up to 30% of grain dry weight in maize stored on-farm due to *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and *S. zeamais* (IITA, 1995). Pest problems are most intense in the Niger Delta where the environmental conditions especially temperature and relative humidity are favourable for insects' development and survival (Udoh, *et al.*, 2000).

Lale and Kartey (2006) have shown from their study on testing testae thickness, seed size and kernel hardness, and other seed quality parameters that some cultivars of maize were relatively resistant to *S. zeamais* attack. The current work was carried out to determine the performance/potency of a newly introduced Spintor dust (Spinosad 1.25 g/kg) (Lachlan Kenya, 2008) and to compare with the existing one (Actellic 2% dust) on 3 maize varieties in the Niger delta region of Nigeria.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Insect Rearing**

Adult maize weevils used to establish the culture were obtained from an infested grain in an open market at Choba, Rivers State, Nigeria. They were subsequently maintained on a local variety Bende in 1-L Kilner jar under prevailing laboratory conditions (25-30°C and 70-90% r.h.) in the Faculty of Agriculture, University of Port Harcourt.

### **Experimental Procedure**

Three maize varieties comprising one hybrid (ACR 97 TZL Comp. 1-W) obtained from IITA

Ibadan, Nigeria and two local cultivars (Bende and Ogbiamuno) that are normally grown by farmers in the area were screened. The experiment was conducted in the Research Laboratory of Faculty of Agriculture, University of Port Harcourt. The grains were sterilized at 78°C for 30 minutes in a Gallenkamp Oven and conditioned for 24 hours in a laboratory before introducing the adult weevils. The experimental jars were also sterilized at the same condition in order to kill any external pest and pathogens that might be present.

For each variety, 20 g were weighed using a Sensitive Electronic Weighing balance (AB 204 Mettler Toledo balance (Max 210 g, Min 10 g =0.1 mg) into 1-L Kilner jar and admixed with 0.02 g of Actellic and Spintor dust, infested with four pairs of 7 day old *S. zeamais* adults. For each variety control which consisted of pure maize was included. Each treatment was replicated four times. On day 7 these insects were sieved out using a Metal laboratory test sieve (Endo Cotts Ltd, Tolerance Full; Aperture 2.00 mm, Bs 410) and the eggs laid were allowed to develop to adulthood. The adult progeny that developed in each were counted and removed daily by emptying the content of each jar on a white paper and any adult seen was then removed and counted, and the maize grains and the dust were returned carefully into each jar and placed in its original position. This was done continuously until no teneral adult was observed for 4 consecutive days in all the jars. The final weight was then taken and the difference between this weight and the original weight of the produce introduced was regarded as the quantitative loss of material due to *S. zeamais* infestation. Development period of *S. zeamais* in each replicate was recorded as the number of days from the first oviposition to the emergence of first *F1* adult.

### **Data Analyses**

The experiment was arranged in CRD with 4 replicates with the maize varieties and chemicals

as factors. Data obtained were subjected to two-way ANOVA with crop variety and chemicals forming the factors. Differences between means were separated using the technique of LSD (least significant difference) at 5% level of probability.

## RESULT

Table 1 shows that at the end of the experimental period (51 days) higher numbers of adult *S. zeamais* progeny developed in control jars but there were no significant differences ( $P > 0.01$ ) in the numbers of adults that developed on Actellic and Spintor dust. However, Spintor dust performed better in reducing the number of adult progeny of *S. zeamais* in all the varieties.

In all the factors considered, adult progeny development took significantly longer periods ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) in Spintor dust which was closely followed by Actellic and *S. zeamais* spent the shortest development time in untreated maize (control) but there was no significant difference between the varieties tested (Table 2). Table 3 shows that maize treated with Spintor dust had the least weight loss (8%) though it did not differ ( $P > 0.05$ ) significantly from others. On the basis of quantitative loss the chemicals tested were ranked in order of increasing efficacy as: control > Actellic dust > Spintor dust.

**Table 1:** Mean number of *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motschulsky) adults that developed in maize varieties

	Maize variety				Mean
	ACR 97 TZL Comp. 1-W	Bende	Ogbiamuno	Total	
Actellic	138(46)	148(49.33)	108(36)	394	43.78
Spintor	102(34)	98(32.67)	128(42.67)	328	36.44
Control	249(83)	269(89.67)	209(69.67)	727	80.78
Total	489	515	445		
Mean	54.33	57.22	49.44		

SED=8.734; LSD (0.05) =18.518; LSD (0.01) = 25.574

**Table 2:** Mean number of days it took *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motchulsky) to complete development to adult hood in maize varieties.

Maize variety					
	ACR 97 TZL Comp. 1-W	Bende	Ogbiamuno	Total	Mean
Actellic	102(24)	104(34.67)	103(34.33)	309	34.33
Spintor	107(35.67)	109(36.33)	109(36.33)	325	36.11
Control	100(33.33)	100(33.33)	101(33.67)	301	33.44
Total	309	313	313		
Mean	34.33	34.78	34.78		

SED = 0.506; LSD (0.05) = 1.073

**Table 3:** Mean weight losses (g) in maize varieties infested by *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motschulsky)

Maize variety					
	ACR 97 TZL Comp. 1-W	Bende	Ogbiamuno	Total	Mean
Actellic	7.44(2.48)	8.05(2.68)	6.63(2.21)	22.12	2.46
Spintor	6.57(2.19)	2.98(0.99)	4.35(1.45)	13.9	1.54
Control	8.85(2.95)	7.6(2.53)	8.11(2.70)	24.56	2.73
Total	22.86	18.63	19.09		
Mean	2.54	2.07	2.12		

SED = 0.569; LSD (0.05) = 1.21

## DISCUSSION

The effect of the chemicals had a significant impact on the bionomics of the *S. zeamais* when applied at 0.02 g/20 g maize grains; this finding therefore concurs with that of Katinila (1998) who suggested the use of insecticides such as Malathion and Actellic or a preformulated mixture of Permethrin 0.5% and Primiphos methyl 2% (Actellic Super dust) applied at 100 g per 90–100 kg of grain as a protective measure against the menace of *S. zeamais* in store. Nsemwa and Lyimo (2005) reported that the cheapest storage chemical in the largest distributor (TFA in Njombe, Tanzania) was

Actellic Super dust, which cost Tsh 1500 and only sufficient to treat two 90 kg sacks of maize, while Spintor dust was highly in demand by farmers and a 50 g was sufficient to treat one sack of 90 kg sack. The biopesticide Spinosad controls many insect pests of stored-food products and it caused high mortality of adult *C. maculatus* and decreased the number of eggs laid by females (Antoine, *et al.*, 2010). Othira *et al.* (2009) reported that *Hyptis spicigera* oil a botanical insecticide exhibited strong dose and exposure time dependent repellence against *S. zeamais* and *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst. They further showed that *Hyptis* oil at a concentration

of 0.2ul/l air was potent enough to achieve 68% kill of *S. zeamais* 7 days after treatment offers a practical potential. Ogendo (2000) and Avannilewa, *et al.* (2006) reported a number of botanical oils and extracts to have been found to be potent against adult weevils of *S. zeamais*. Daramola (1985) however, stressed the current use of chemicals as a major trend in Nigeria, Spintor dust which has just been introduced into the market has shown a great promise as it suppressed the development of *S. zeamais* significantly and prolonged its developmental period (Table 2); It is therefore suggested that it can be a better alternative to Actellic 2 % dust for managing the menace of this pest.

However, the ability of adults to survive and reproduce on both chemicals (Table 1) does not indicate their inefficiency in controlling the pests. Possible reasons have been advanced by Nwaubani (2006) who suggested that the waxy plug with which the female insect seals its eggs protect the fewer eggs laid from deleterious effects of the dust. In supporting this postulation, Adedire (2001) reported that the developing larvae feed within a single grain, pupate within it and the adult eventually emerges. Reduction of adult progeny development in *S. zeamais* in treated maize can be attributed to either deterrence in mating activities (Mc Farlane, 1989) or irritation response by the weevil to the dust (Finney and Fisher, 1964) thus conferring protection to stored grains. The level of losses incurred (Table 3) have confirmed the report that *S. zeamais* is probably the most important primary pest of stored maize and that its infestation results in substantial losses in weight.

## REFERENCES

Adedire, C.O and Oni, M.O. (1998). Effect of host species on oviposition and development of yam beetle, *Dinoderus porcellus* Lesne (Coleoptera: Brostrichidae) In: Lale N.E.S, Molta, N.B, Donli, P.O., Dike; M.C. and Aminu-

kano, M. (Eds). Entomological Society of Nigeria (ESN), Maiduguri, Nigeria. ESN occasional Publication 31:243-248.

Antoine, S., Njiango, M.B., Clementine, L.B. and Barry, R.P. (2010) Effectiveness of Spinosad (Naturalytes) in controlling the cowpea storage pest, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) *Journal of Economic Entomology* 103(1):203-210.

Avannilewa, S.T., Ekkrakene, T. and Akinneye, J.O. (2006) Laboratory evaluation of four medicinal plants as protectants against the maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* (Mots.) *African Journal of Biotechnology* 5(2): 2033-2036.

Daramola A.M (1985). Comparative effectiveness of Carbofuran and Carbaryl for the control of maize stem borers. *Nigerian Journal of Plant Protection* 9: 54-59.

Finney, G. L. and Fisher, T.W (1964) Culture of entomophagous insects and their hosts in Biological Control of Insects and weeds, (Ed. P. Detach), New York Reinhold 328-353pp

Haines, C.P., (1991) Insects and Arachnids of tropical stored products: their biology and identification, NRI, Chatham, Kent (UK)

IITA (1995) Plant Health Management Division. *Annual Report*. 43p.

Katinila, N., Verkuijl, H, Mwangi, W, Anandajayasekeram, P. and Moshi, A.J., (1998) *Adoption of Maize Production Technologies in Southern Tanzania*. Mexico, D.F.: International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), the United Republic of Tanzania, and the Southern Africa Centre for Cooperation in Agricultural Research (SACCAR).

- Komolafe, M. F., Adegbola, A.A., Are, L.A and Ashaye, T.L. (1980). Agricultural Science for West African University Press Limited.
- LACHLAN Kenya Ltd (2008) [www.griculture.co.ke](http://www.griculture.co.ke). Date of retrieval 5<sup>th</sup> March, 2010
- Lale, N.E.S and Kartay, M.O. (2006) Role of physical characteristics of the seeds in the resistance of local cultivars of maize to *Sitophilus zeamais* infestation in storage. *Tropical Science* 46(1)1-3
- McFarloane, J. A. (1989) Guidelines for Pest management research to reduce stored food losses caused by insects and mites. Oversea Development Natural Resource Institute (ODNRI) Bulletin No 22, 27pp
- Nsemwa, L.T.H. and Lyimo, N. (2005) Improving farmer and other stakeholders' access to quality information and products of pre and post harvest of maize systems management in the South Highlands of Tanzania. Natural Resources International Limited. CPHP online. DAI PESA Iringa Tanzania R8422.
- Nwaubani, S. D. (2006) Evaluation of Marbel Dust for Control of the maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamis* Motsch (Coleoptera; Curculianidae) *Nigeria Journal of Entomology* 23: (2006) 1-5
- Ofuya T.I and Lale N.E.S. (Ed) (2001). Pests of Stored Cereals and Pulses in Nigria. Biology, Ecology and Control. Dave Collins Publishers 174 pp.
- Ogendo, J.O (2000) cited in Wayinka, H.N., Kareru, J.M., Keriko, J.M., Gachanja, A.N., Kenji, G.M. and Mukiira, N.J.(2009) Contact toxicity of some fixed plant oils and stabilized natural pyrethrum extracts against adult maize weevils (*Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky) *African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology* 3(2) 066-069
- Othira, J.O., Deng, A.L., Onek, L.A., Kemey, J. and Omolo, E.O. (2008) Potential application of *Hyptis spicigera* for biological control of *Striga hermonthica* infestation. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 3(10) 747-752
- Othira, J.O., Onek, L.A., Deng, A.L., and Omolo, E.O. (2009) Insecticidal potency of *Hyptis spicigera* preparations against *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motschulsky) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) on stored maize grains. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 4(3) 187-192
- Purseglove, J.W. (1983). Maize In: Tropical crops: Monocotyledons (Longman, London) 300-334pp.
- Raja, N., Albert, S., Ignacimuthu, S., Dorn, S. (2001) Effect of plant volatile oils in protecting stored cowpea *Vigna unguiculata* L.(Walpers) against *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) infestation. *Journal of Stored Product Research* 37:127-132
- Udoh, J.M., Cardwell, K.F. and Ikotun, T. (2000) Storage structures and aflatoxin content of maize in five agro ecological zones in Nigeria. *Journal of Stored Products Research* 36, 187-201.
- Wayinka, H.N., Kareru, J.M., Keriko, J.M., Gachanja, A.N., Kenji, G.M. and Mukiira, N.J.(2009) Contact toxicity of some fixed plant oils and stabilized natural pyrethrum extracts against adult maize weevils (*Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky) *African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology* 3(2) 066-069.